Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Shri Amrik Singh, (M: 98768-00860), Block J/26/100, BRS Nagar,



Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar. Complainant

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 383 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.

Shri Eshaan Sharma, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o RTA Jalandhar is present.

ORDER

Dated: 22.10.2020

Shri Amrik Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 04.05.2020 and sought some information from the office of the SPIO.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas Shri Eshaan Sharma, SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o RTA, Jalandhar is present and he stated that the sought for information has already been sent to the complainant/applicant vide letter no.6724 dated 05.08.2020 and the copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission through e-mail as compliance.

I have gone through the e-mail sent by the SPIO-cum-Section Officer, O/o RTA, Jalandhar, which is a copy of letter no.7365 dated 04.09.2020 along with a copy of letter no.6724 dated 05.08.2020.

I have gone through letter no.6724 dated 05.08.2020 vide which the sought for information/reply was sent to the complainant/applicant. The SPIO has replied each para of the RTI application.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 04.05.2020 and also gone through the information/reply sent to the complainant/applicant on 05.08.2020 by the SPIO. Moreover a period of more than two months has been passed, but the applicant has not brought any deficiencies to the notice of the SPIO nor to the Commission and neither he has come present today.

So, the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information/reply to the complainant/applicant. Hence the present complaint case is disposed of and closed.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd) CC: The Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar – for intimation.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Ms. Gamini, (M: 97680-00056), D/o Shri Ashok Kumar, R/o H.No. 30, Gagandeep Colony, Street No.1, Bhattian Bet, Distt.Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

۷s

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2493 of 2020

Present:- Ms. Gamini, appellant is absent.

ASI Ramesh Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO. O/o Commissioner of

Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Dated: 22.10.2020

Ms. Gamini, appellant filed RTI application dated 08.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to her by the SPIO then she filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.08.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 07.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent has come present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the inquiry/investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still under process and hence the information cannot be provide to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005 and the same fact has been replied to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.575-D dated 13.10.2020 along with a photocopy of report dated 09.10.2020. I have gone through the said documents and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO.

So as per the reply submitted by the SPIO that the inquiry/investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still under process, so the information cannot be provide to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with a direction to the SPIO that whenever the concerned inquiry/investigation would be completed, the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Bittu, S/o Shri Om Kumar, Village Bholapur, Jhabewal, P.O. Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2424 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Bittu, appellant is present.

ASI Ramesh Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of

Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Dated: 22.10.2020

Shri Bittu, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.08.2020 and then Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.09.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today **through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.**

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent, who is present on behalf of the SPIO, stated that the inquiry/investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still under process before the ACP, Industrial Area-A, Ludhiana and hence the information cannot be provide to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. He further stated that the same fact has been replied to the appellant vide letter no. 231 dated 29.08.2020 and vide letter no.568-D dated 09.10.2020.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.568-D dated 09.10.2020 along with a photocopy of letter no.231 dated 29.08.2020. I have gone through the said letters and the above mentioned facts have been submitted by the SPIO.

So as per the reply submitted by the SPIO that the inquiry/investigation regarding the information sought by the appellant is still under process, so the information cannot be provide to the appellant U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with a direction to the SPIO that whenever the concerned inquiry/investigation would be completed, the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 15 days with a copy to the Commission.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Poic Psic

Shri George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih, R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12, Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer

O/o IGP, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority: O/o IGP, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2304 of 2020

Versus

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.

None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o IGP, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur.

ORDER

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 06.05.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o IGP, Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.06.2020. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 26.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software.**

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the SPIO.

The appellant stated that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, O/o SSP, Moga, which is a copy of letter no.13251-53/C dated 16.10.2020 along with a copy of letter no.9827-28/C dated 12.08.2020. I have gone through the said letters vide which the sought for information was sent to the appellant.

As the sought for information has been sent to the appellant by the SPIO and the appellant himself admitted that he has received the information and he is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 22.10.2020

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpuniab.com



Shri George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih, R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12, Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o IGP, Roopnagar Range,

Roopnagar.Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2305 of 2020

Versus

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.

Constable Maninder Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 03.01.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP, Mohali. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.05.2020. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 26.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software.**

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant has been sent to him vide letter no.44410/G dated 13.10.2020 comprising of 11 pages.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 21.10.2020, diarized vide no.14524, which is a copy of letter no.43 dated 20.10.2020 along with a copy of letter no.44417/G dated 13.10.2020 and a copy of letter no.44410/G dated 13.10.2020.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 03.01.2020 and also gone through the letters sent by the SPIO. The RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague, but even then the SPIO has sent the sought for information comprising of 11 pages to the appellant.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 22.10.2020

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com

Shri George Subh, S/o Sh. Rustam Masih, R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12, Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.



.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority: O/o IGP, Border Range, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2306 of 2020

Versus

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is present.

ASI Harpal Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar.

ORDER

Dated: 22.10.2020

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.10.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Amritsar. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.06.2020. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 26.08.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meeting Software.**

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that the information sought by the appellant is not specific rather it is vague.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 14.10.2019. The RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information, rather he is seeking information for the whole of the district from the public authority. If the public authority would be directed to do this, then 75% time of the public authority will be used in preparing the information.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** as the RTI application filed by the appellant is not specific, rather it is vague as he has not mentioned the name of any person for whom he is seeking information.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.